

Eventual Linear Ranking Functions

Roberto BAGNARA¹ **Fred MESNARD**²

¹ BUGSENG & Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Parma, Italy

² LIM, université de la Réunion, France

PPDP 2013

Context

- Program termination
- SLC (*single-path linear constraint*) rational loop
- Eventual linear ranking function
- Might sound highly specific but compositional via Ramsey's theorem

Plan

Introduction

Linear ranking functions

Definition

Farkas' Lemma

Detection

Eventual Linear Ranking Functions

Definition

Detection given a Linear Increasing Function

Algorithm

Fully Automated Detection

Algorithm

Conclusion

SLC (*single-path linear constraint*) rational loop

while ($B \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$) **do** $A \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}' \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbf{c}$

where:

- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ input values $\in \mathbb{Q}$
- $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)^T$ output values $\in \mathbb{Q}$ after one iteration
- $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{p \times n}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$, $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{q \times 2n}$ et $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^q$

Notation:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \leftarrow B \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, A \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}' \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbf{c}, p(\mathbf{x}')$$

Example

- The loop **while** ($x \geq 0$) **do** $x' \leq x - 1$ is denoted

$$p(x) \leftarrow x \geq 0, x' \leq x - 1, p(x')$$

- Operationally:

$$p(9) \Rightarrow 9 \geq 0 \wedge x' \leq 9 - 1, \text{choice} : x' = 7$$

$$p(7) \Rightarrow 7 \geq 0 \wedge x' \leq 7 - 1, \text{choice} : x' = \dots$$

...

$$p(0) \Rightarrow 0 \geq 0 \wedge x' \leq 0 - 1, \text{choice} : x' = -3/2$$

$$p(-3/2) \Rightarrow -3/2 \geq 0, \text{stop!}$$

- The loop always terminates because its argument is 1-decreasing and bounded by below

Linear ranking function

Let C be the SLC loop $p(\mathbf{x}) \leftarrow c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'), p(\mathbf{x}')$, where p is an n -ary relation

Definition

A *linear ranking function* ρ for C is a linear function from \mathbb{Q}^n to \mathbb{Q} st:

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \left[c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1 + \rho(\mathbf{x}') \\ \rho(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \end{cases} \right]$$

Example

For $p(x) \leftarrow x \geq 0, x' \leq x - 1, p(x')$

- $\rho(x) = x$ is a linear ranking function:
 - ▶ $\rho(x) - \rho(x') = x - x' \geq 1$
 - ▶ $\rho(x) \geq 0$

Easily checked. Detection? Podelski & Rybalchenko (2004)

Farkas' Lemma

Given a satisfiable linear system

$$S := \begin{cases} a_{1,1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n + b_1 \geq 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n + b_m \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

any inequality implied by S is a positive combination of its inequalities:

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n [S \Rightarrow c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_nx_n + d \geq 0]$$

iff

$$\exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} c_1 & = & \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{i,1} \\ \dots & & \\ c_n & = & \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{i,n} \\ d & \geq & \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i \end{array} \right.$$

For the SLC loop C_1 :

$$p(x, y) \leftarrow x \geq 0, y' \leq y - 1, x' \leq x + y, y \leq -1, p(x', y')$$

a linear ranking function $\rho(x, y) = ax + by$ exists iff:

$$\exists a, b \forall x, y, x', y'$$

$$\begin{cases} x & \geq 0 \\ y' & \leq y - 1 \\ x' & \leq x + y \\ y & \leq -1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} ax + by & \geq 1 + ax' + by' \\ ax + by & \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Solvable by quantifier elimination, hence decidable but expensive!

By considering a and b as parameters, apply the Farkas' Lemma

Decreasing of the ranking function:

$\forall x, y, x', y'$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \geq 0 \\ y' \leq y - 1 \\ x' \leq x + y \\ y \leq -1 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow ax + by \geq 1 + ax' + by'$$

Positivity of the ranking function:

$\forall x, y, x', y'$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \geq 0 \\ y' \leq y - 1 \\ x' \leq x + y \\ y \leq -1 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow ax + by \geq 0$$

Preparing for the Farkas' Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_1 : & \quad 1x \quad +0y \quad +0x' \quad +0y' \quad +0 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \lambda_2 : & \quad 1x \quad +1y \quad -1x' \quad +0y' \quad +0 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \lambda_3 : & \quad 0x \quad +1y \quad +0x' \quad -1y' \quad -1 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \lambda_4 : & \quad 0x \quad -1y \quad +0x' \quad +0y' \quad -1 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \Rightarrow & \\
 ax \quad +by \quad -ax' \quad -by' \quad -1 \quad \geq \quad 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda'_1 : & \quad 1x \quad +0y \quad +0x' \quad +0y' \quad +0 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \lambda'_2 : & \quad 1x \quad +1y \quad -1x' \quad +0y' \quad +0 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \lambda'_3 : & \quad 0x \quad +1y \quad +0x' \quad -1y' \quad -1 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \lambda'_4 : & \quad 0x \quad -1y \quad +0x' \quad +0y' \quad -1 \quad \geq \quad 0 \\
 \Rightarrow & \\
 ax \quad +by \quad +0x' \quad +0y' \quad +0 \quad \geq \quad 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Farkas' Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_4 \geq 0 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ b & = & \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ -a & = & -\lambda_2 \\ -b & = & -\lambda_3 \\ -1 & \geq & -\lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \lambda'_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda'_4 \geq 0 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 \\ b & = & \lambda'_2 + \lambda'_3 - \lambda'_4 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_2 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_3 \\ 0 & \geq & -\lambda'_3 - \lambda'_4 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Conjuncting

$$\exists a, b \ \exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_4 \geq 0, \lambda'_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda'_4 \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} a & = & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ b & = & \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ -a & = & -\lambda_2 \\ -b & = & -\lambda_3 \\ -1 & \geq & -\lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ a & = & \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 \\ b & = & \lambda'_2 + \lambda'_3 - \lambda'_4 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_2 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_3 \\ 0 & \geq & -\lambda'_3 - \lambda'_4 \end{array} \right.$$

By solving linear inequalities:

$$b = 0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = \lambda'_2 = \lambda'_3 = \lambda'_4, a = 1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = \lambda'_1$$

Summarizing

The rational SLC loop C_1 admits $\rho(x, y) = x$ as a linear ranking function because:

$$\forall x, y, x', y'$$
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \geq 0 \\ y' \leq y - 1 \\ x' \leq x + y \\ y \leq -1 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \geq 1 + x' \\ x \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Eventual Linear Ranking Functions

Let C be the SLC loop $p(\mathbf{x}) \leftarrow c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$, $p(\mathbf{x}')$ where p is an n -ary relation
 Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a linear function st:

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \ c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{x}') \geq 1 + f(\mathbf{x})$$

Definition

An eventual linear ranking function ρ pour (C, f) is a linear function from \mathbb{Q}^n to \mathbb{Q} st:

$$\exists k \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \\ f(\mathbf{x}) \geq k \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1 + \rho(\mathbf{x}') \\ \rho(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- The SLC loop C_2

$$p(x, y) \leftarrow x \geq 0, x' \leq x + y, y' \leq y - 1, p(x', y')$$

does not admit a linear ranking function

- The function $f(x, y) = -y$ is strictly increasing for C_2
- The loop admits an eventual linear function $\rho(x, y) = ax + by$ iff:

$$\exists a, b, k \forall x, y, x', y'$$

$$\begin{cases} x & \geq 0 \\ x' & \leq x + y \\ y' & \leq y - 1 \\ -y & \geq k \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} ax + by & \geq 1 + ax' + by' \\ ax + by & \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Farkas' Lemma

$\text{DEC}(a, b, k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_4 \geq 0 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ b & = & \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ -a & = & -\lambda_2 \\ -b & = & -\lambda_3 \\ -1 & \geq & -\lambda_3 - k\lambda_4 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{POS}(a, b, k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \lambda'_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda'_4 \geq 0 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 \\ b & = & \lambda'_2 + \lambda'_3 - \lambda'_4 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_2 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_3 \\ 0 & \geq & -\lambda'_3 - k\lambda'_4 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{DEC}_1(a, b)$:

$$\exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \lambda_3 \geq 0, \lambda_4 = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ b & = & \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \\ -a & = & -\lambda_2 \\ -b & = & -\lambda_3 \\ -1 & \geq & -\lambda_3 \end{array} \right.$$

$\text{DEC}_2(a, b)$ with $P = k\lambda_4$:

$$\exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \lambda_3 \geq 0, \lambda_4 > 0, P$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ b & = & \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ -a & = & -\lambda_2 \\ -b & = & -\lambda_3 \\ -1 & \geq & -\lambda_3 - P \end{array} \right.$$

Idem for POS

Lemma

$\exists k \text{ DEC}(a, b, k)$ is equivalent to $\text{DEC}_1(a, b) \vee \text{DEC}_2(a, b)$
 $\exists k \text{ POS}(a, b, k)$ is equivalent to $\text{POS}_1(a, b) \vee \text{POS}_2(a, b)$

Hence:

Given a strictly increasing function, an eventual linear ranking exists iff one of these formulas is satisfiable:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{DEC}_1(a, b) \wedge \text{POS}_1(a, b) \\ & \text{DEC}_1(a, b) \wedge \text{POS}_2(a, b) \\ & \text{DEC}_2(a, b) \wedge \text{POS}_1(a, b) \\ & \text{DEC}_2(a, b) \wedge \text{POS}_2(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

Decidable and polynomial

For our example, $\text{DEC}_2(a, b) \wedge \text{POS}_1(a, b)$ is satisfiable

$$\exists \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \lambda_3 \geq 0, \lambda_4 > 0, P, \lambda'_1 \geq 0, \lambda'_2 \geq 0, \lambda'_3 \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} a & = & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ b & = & \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ -a & = & -\lambda_2 \\ -b & = & -\lambda_3 \\ -1 & \geq & -\lambda_3 - P \\ a & = & \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 \\ b & = & \lambda'_2 + \lambda'_3 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_2 \\ 0 & = & -\lambda'_3 \\ 0 & \geq & -\lambda'_3 \end{array} \right.$$

$$b = 0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = \lambda'_2 = \lambda'_3, \quad a = 1 = \lambda'_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = P, \quad P/\lambda_4 = k = 1$$

Summarizing

Given the increasing linear function $f(x, y) = -y$, the rational SLC loop C_2 admits $\rho(x, y) = x$ from $k = 1$ as an eventual linear ranking because:

$$\exists k \geq 1 \forall x, y, x', y'$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} x & \geq & 0 \\ x' & \leq & x + y \\ y' & \leq & y - 1 \\ -y & \geq & 1 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} x & \geq & 1 + x' \\ x & \geq & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

A Correct, Complete, and Polynomial Algorithm

Algorithm 1 Existence of an eventual linear ranking function, given a linear increasing function

Require: $p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$, $p(\mathbf{x}')$ an an-ary SLC loop and $f(\mathbf{x})$ a linear function st: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \ c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{x}') \geq 1 + f(\mathbf{x})$

Ensure: decide the existence of an eventual linear ranking function

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \text{ from } k$$

- 1: $\text{DEC}(\mathbf{a}, k) :=$ Farkas for the decreasing of ρ
- 2: $\text{DEC}_1(\mathbf{a}), \text{DEC}_2(\mathbf{a}) :=$ linearization of $\text{DEC}(\mathbf{a}, k)$
- 3: $\text{POS}(\mathbf{a}, k) :=$ Farkas pour the positivity of ρ
- 4: $\text{POS}_1(\mathbf{a}), \text{POS}_2(\mathbf{a}) :=$ linearization of $\text{POS}(\mathbf{a}, k)$
- 5: **if** $\bigvee_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \text{DEC}_i(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \text{POS}_j(\mathbf{a})$ is satisfiable **then**
- 6: **return true**
- 7: **else**
- 8: **return false**
- 9: **end if**

Fully Automated Detection

- Given an SLC loop C , does there exist an increasing function such that C admits an eventual linear ranking function?
- The space of increasing functions can be obtained via the Farkas' Lemma and existentially quantified variables elimination

Definition

Let $C = (p(\mathbf{x}) \leftarrow c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'), p(\mathbf{x}'))$ be an SLC loop. We denote by INC the set of vectors \mathbf{b} such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is increasing for C .

Example

- No linear ranking function for C :
 $p(x, y) \leftarrow x \geq 0, x' \leq x + y, y' \leq -y - 1, p(x', y')$
- $\text{INC} = \{ (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mid b_1 \leq -2, b_1 - 2b_2 = 0 \}$ = the space of functions of the form $f(x, y) = b_1x + b_2y$ which are increasing for C
- Defining $\rho(x, y) = a_1x + a_2y$ and considering b_1 and b_2 as parameters, ρ is an eventual linear ranking function iff:

$$\exists a_1, a_2, k . \forall x, y, x', y' : \begin{cases} x \geq 0, & x' \leq x + y \\ b_1x + b_2y \geq k, & y' \leq -y - 1 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} a_1x + a_2y \geq 1 + a_1x' + a_2y' \\ a_1x + a_2y \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

- Again, solvable using an extension of Farkas' Lemma

A Correct and Complete Algorithm

Algorithm 2 Existence of an eventual linear ranking function

```

1: INC := the space of increasing functions for the SLC loop C
2: DEC(a, k) := Farkas for the decreasing of  $\rho$ 
3: DEC1(a), DEC2(a,  $\lambda$ , p) := linearization of DEC(a, k)
4: POS(a, k) := Farkas for the positivity of  $\rho$ 
5: POS1(a), POS2(a,  $\lambda'$ , p') := linearization of POS(a, k)
6:  $\phi_{1,1} := \text{DEC}_1(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \text{POS}_1(\mathbf{a})$ 
7:  $\phi_{1,2} := \text{DEC}_1(\mathbf{a}) \wedge \text{POS}_2(\mathbf{a}, \lambda', \mathbf{p}') \wedge \mathbf{p}'/\lambda' \in \text{INC}$ 
8:  $\phi_{2,1} := \text{DEC}_2(\mathbf{a}, \lambda, \mathbf{p}) \wedge \mathbf{p}/\lambda \in \text{INC} \wedge \text{POS}_1(\mathbf{a})$ 
9:  $\phi_{2,2} := \text{DEC}_2(\mathbf{a}, \lambda, \mathbf{p}) \wedge \mathbf{p}/\lambda \in \text{INC} \wedge \text{POS}_2(\mathbf{a}, \lambda', \mathbf{p}') \wedge \mathbf{p}/\lambda = \mathbf{p}'/\lambda'$ 
10: if  $\bigvee_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \phi_{i,j}$  is satisfiable then
11:   return true
12: else
13:   return false
14: end if

```

Our contributions

- Definition of eventual linear ranking function
- *Strict generalization* of linear ranking function
(Podelski/Rybalchenko, 2004)
- Given a rational SLC loop and a strictly increasing linear function, a correct, complete, and polynomial algorithm for the existence of an eventual ranking function
- Given a rational SLC loop, a correct and complete algorithm for the existence of an eventual ranking function
- Both algorithms implemented in Prolog+CLP(Q) within the [BinTerm](#) termination prover

Extension

- *Integer SLC loops?*