

Abstract Interpretation: Handin for week 5

Note: you are allowed to do this handin in groups of 2.

May 8, 2012

1. Implement the Parity analysis of the 3 counter machine in OCaml, including pretty printing of the analysis result, e.g., as an annotated program:¹

```
1: inc y           {x:top, y:top, z:even}
2: zero y 1 else 1 {x:top, y:top, z:even}
3: stop           {x:bot, y:bot, z:bot}
```

2. Run the analysis on your program from handin 2 and discuss the result.
3. Which invariant does your implementation discover for line 3 of the following program:

```
1: inc z
2: zero z 3 else 4
3: inc y
4: dec z
5: stop
```

How does that influence the predicted result at line 5? Can you do better (using the tools of abstract interpretation)?

Bonus question

Why is

```
( <bot,bot,bot>. [pc' -> [x==0]#(S#(pc)) ] )
U. ( <bot,bot,bot>. [pc'' -> [x<>0]#(S#(pc)) ] )
```

not the same as:

```
( <bot,bot,bot>. [pc' -> [x==0]#(S#(pc)) ] [pc'' -> [x<>0]#(S#(pc)) ] )
```

in the `zero x pc' else pc''` case?

Write a program which reveals the difference.

¹`Printf.printf` accepts optional width parameters which helps align things under each other. For example `'Printf.printf "%3i: %-20s" i s'` will reserve 3 characters for the integer `i` and 20 characters for the string `s`. Furthermore `i` will be right aligned, whereas `s` will be left aligned.